

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/705,951	11/13/2003	Estelle Keeter	21159.00	6708
37833	7590 11/03.	14	EXAM	INER
LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD. P.O. BOX 15035 CRYSTAL CITY STATION			JIANG, CH	HEN WEN
ARLINGTON, VA 22215		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3744	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/705,951	KEETER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Chen-Wen Jiang	3744	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	
	or Reply	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a long period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the managed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, m reply within the statutory minimum od will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 November 2003.		
2a)□		his action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposit	tion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideratior		
,	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	inor		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 i  Applicant may not request that any objection to t  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or the drawing(s) be held in al rection is required if the dra	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
а	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore    All   b   Some * c   None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum.  2. Certified copies of the priority docum.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum.  application from the International Bur.  See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received ents have been received priority documents have l reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No  Deen received in this National Stage	
Attachme  1) Not	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rrmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB rer No(s)/Mail Date <u>20031113</u> .	/08) 5) 🔲 Notic	er No(s)/Mail Date te of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:	

Art Unit: 3744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Specification**

1. The use of the trademark "Lifoam Iceberg Freeze Pack" has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

2. The following rejections are based on the best understanding of the claimed limitations.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1,5,6,7 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehmann (U.S. Patent Number 4,429,793) in view of Lustig (U.S. Patent Number 6,253,570).

In regard to claims 1,5,6,7 and 8, Ehmann discloses thermally insulated bag for carrying temperature-sensitive medications. The bag 10 comprises a front cover 12, a back cover 14, a center binder 16, pouch 24, elastic straps 28,34,36, cooling mechanism 42 and a zipper 18. Ehmann discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ehmann does not disclose the cooling mechanism is located in a pouch and the insulated material is flexible. Lustig discloses a flexible insulated material and pouch contained cooling mechanism in the same field

Art Unit: 3744

of endeavor for using different material and retaining means. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Ehmann with cooling mechanism is located in a pouch and the insulated material is flexible in view of Lustig so as to have different face material and cooling retaining means.

In regard to claims 9-12, Lustig discloses the gel refrigerant can be use as cooling material. The items arranged in the bag are user's choice.

5. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehmann and Lustig as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Macedo (U.S. Patent Number 5,400,610).

Ehmann and Lustig disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ehmann and Lustig do not disclose the claimed housing material. Macedo discloses the claimed material in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of making housing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Ehmann and Lustig with claimed material in view of Macedo so as to make the housing. Also, the applicant should note that the selection of known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (703) 308-0275 (571 272-4809 after 11/20/2004). The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 to 5:30.

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner